Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/795,886	MCDANIEL, CAMMIE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jared W. Newton	3634
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Jared W. Newton (Examiner).	(3)	
(2) James Krueger (Attorney).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 August 2006	Time: <u>3pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 26		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
The Examiner proposed changes to claim 25 in order to place it in condition for allowance. The Attorney agreed to the changes, cancelled claim 25, and resubmitted claim 26 in the SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT filed August 9, 2006		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
RICHARDE. CHILCOT, JR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER		
Ja Nonto	/Annilografia D	:t;f;;_t\
(Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – ir appropriate)